

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General
	of the State of California
2	BRENDA P. REYES, State Bar No. 129718 Deputy Attorney General
3	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
	San Francisco, California 94102-7004
4	Telephone: (415) 703-5541
5	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480
ם ו	Attorneys for Complainant
6	This is a second of the second
7	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY
-8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. W-168
_	Against:) OAH No. N2000050172
12	CANDACE CHORJEL, Ph.D. STIPULATED SETTLEMENT
13	277 Trade Winds Drive) AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
	Boulder Creek, CA 95006
14)
15	Psychologist's License
	No. PSY 9662
16	
17	Respondent.
18	
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Candace Chorjel,
ן כב	
20	Ph.D. (hereinafter "respondent") with the advice of her attorney, David M. Galie, Esq., of
_	FREEMAN & GALIE, and complainant Thomas O'Connor, in his official capacity as Executive
21	
22	Officer of The Board of Psychology (hereinafter "Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, by
23	and through his attorney, Bill Lockyer, Attorney General, by Brenda P. Reyes, Deputy Attorney
23	and through his attorney, Bir Book, et, 1200210, constant, cy = 1000210, y
24	General, as follows:
25	1. The First Amended Accusation No. W-168 (hereinafter referred to as the
26	"Accusation") is presently pending before the Board. A copy of said Accusation is attached
27	hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

- The complainant in said Accusation, Thomas O'Connor, is the Executive
 Officer of the Board and brought said Accusation solely in his official capacity.
- 3. Respondent's license history and status as set forth at Paragraph 2 of the Accusation is true and correct and respondent's address of record as set forth above in the caption of this Stipulated Settlement is true and correct.
- 4. Respondent has fully discussed with her attorney, David Galie, the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation and therefore has been fully advised concerning her legal rights and of the effects of this stipulation.
- Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her. Respondent is fully aware of each of her rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against her, the right to testify and to present evidence on her own behalf, as well as the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, and any and all other rights which are accorded respondent pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, § 11500, et seq.) and other applicable laws, including the right to seek reconsideration, review by the superior court, and appellate review. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily and after consulting with her attorney waives each and every one of the rights set forth above.
- 6. This Stipulation is the result of a compromise between the Board and respondent. For purposes of the settlement of the action pending against respondent and to avoid a lengthy administrative hearing as well as the risks associated with such a trial, respondent admits that, if proven, there is a factual and legal basis for the imposition of discipline by the Board pursuant to the charges and allegations, which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a psychologist. Respondent, therefore, stipulates to the jurisdiction of the Board to enter the following disciplinary order pursuant to Business and

10

13

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

All admissions of fact and conclusions of law contained in this stipulation are made exclusively for this proceeding and any future proceeding between the Board of Psychology or other professional licensing agency and respondent, and shall not be deemed to be admissions for any purpose in any other administrative, civil or criminal action, forum or proceeding.

8. Based upon the above recitals, the parties hereby agree that the Board shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

9. It is hereby ordered that License No. PSY 9662 issued to respondent Candace Choriel, Ph.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years subject to the following terms and conditions:

Coursework A.

Respondent shall take and successfully complete not less than eighteen (18) hours of coursework during each year of probation in the following areas: Boundaries, Ethics, and Supervision. In addition, respondent must take and pass an open-book examination related to supervision within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision. Coursework must be preapproved by the Board or its designee. All coursework shall be taken at the graduate level at an accredited educational institution or by an approved continuing education provider. Classroom attendance is specifically required; correspondence or home study coursework shall not count toward meeting this requirement. The coursework must be in addition to any continuing education courses that may be required for license renewal.

Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval a plan for meeting the educational requirements. All costs of the coursework shall be paid by the respondent.

B. Ethics Course

Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval a course in laws and ethics as they relate to the practice of psychology. Said course must be successfully completed at an accredited educational institution or through a provider approved by the Board's accreditation agency for continuing education credit. Said course must be taken and completed within one year from the effective date of this Decision. The costs associated with the law and ethics course shall be paid by the respondent.

C. Billing Monitor

Within 90 days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a psychologist who has agreed to serve as a billing monitor. The monitor shall 1) be a California-licensed psychologist with a clear and current license; 2) have no prior business, professional, personal or other relationship with respondent; and 3) not be the same person as respondent's therapist. The monitor's education and experience shall be in the same field of practice as that of the respondent.

Once approved, the monitor shall submit to the Board or its designee a plan by which respondent's practice shall be monitored. Monitoring shall consist of at least one (1) hour per month of individual face-to-face meetings and shall continue for a twelve (12) month period. The respondent shall provide the monitor with a copy of this Decision and access to respondent's fiscal records. Respondent shall obtain any necessary patient releases to enable the monitor to review records and to make direct contact with patients. Respondent shall execute a release authorizing the monitor to divulge any information that the Board may request. It shall be respondent's responsibility to assure that the monitor submits written reports to the Board or its designee on a quarterly basis verifying that the monitoring has taken place and providing an evaluation of respondent's performance.

Respondent shall notify all current and potential patients of any term or condition of probation which will affect their therapy or the confidentiality of their records (such as this condition which requires a billing monitor). Such notifications shall be signed by each patient prior to continuing or commencing treatment.

If the monitor quits or is otherwise no longer available, respondent shall obtain approval from the Board of a new monitor within 30 days. If no new monitor is approved within 30 days, respondent shall not practice until a new monitor has been approved by the Board or its designee. During this period of non-practice, probation will be tolled and any period of non-practice shall not apply to the reduction of this probationary period. Respondent shall pay all costs associated with this monitoring requirement. Failure to pay these costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

D. Investigation/Enforcement Cost Recovery

Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of six thousand three hundred and ninety-five dollars (\$6,395.00) within the first eighteen (18) months of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board of Psychology. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of the _____ responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs.

E. <u>Probation Costs</u>

Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board of Psychology at the end of each fiscal year (July 1 - June 30). Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of the responsibility to repay probation monitoring costs.

F. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws and all regulations

governing the practice of psychology in California, including the ethical guidelines of the American Psychological Association. A full and detailed account of any and all violations of law shall be reported by respondent to the Board or its designee in writing within seventy-two (72) hours of their occurrence.

G. Quarterly Reports

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board or its designee, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

H. Probation Compliance

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation program and shall, upon reasonable notice, report to the assigned District Office of the Medical Board of California or other designated probation monitor. Respondent shall contact the assigned probation officer regarding any questions specific to the probation order. Respondent shall not have any unsolicited or unapproved contact with 1) complainants associated with the case; 2) Board members or members of its staff; or 3) persons serving the Board as expert evaluators.

I. Interview with Board or Its Designee

Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

J. Changes of Employment

Respondent shall notify the Board in writing, through the assigned probation officer, of any and all changes of employment, location, and address within thirty (30) days of any such change.

K. Tolling for Out-of-State Practice, Residence or In-State Non-Practice

In the event respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing psychology in the State of California, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within ten

(10) days of the date of departure and return or the date of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2902 and 2903 of the Business and Professions Code. Periods of temporary or permanent residency or practice outside California or of non-practice within California will not apply to the reduction of this probationary period, although the Board may allow respondent to complete certain terms of probation that are not associated with active practice.'

L. <u>Employment and Supervision of Trainees</u>

If respondent is licensed as a psychologist, she shall not employ or supervise or apply to employ or supervise psychological assistants, interns or trainees during the course of this probation. Any such supervisorial relationship in existence on the effective date of this probation shall be terminated by respondent and/or the Board.

M. Violation of Probation

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board may, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final. No Petition for Modification or Termination of Probation shall be considered while there is an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation pending against respondent.

N. Completion of Probation

Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's license shall be fully restored.

08/2000 00:00 FAX 415 555 5993

2

3

4 5

6

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CONTINGENCY

Thus stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or her counsel. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

ACCEPTANCE

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorney, David Galie, Esq. I understand the effect that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have on my psychology license, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily. I agree that a facsimile copy of my signature on this document shall be binding upon me as if it were the original.

Respondent

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and approve of it as to form and content. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters therein with respondent Candace Chorjel, Ph.D. I agree that a facsimile copy of may signature on this document shall be binding upon me as if it were the original.

DATED: 7-5-2000

Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

DATED: July 6, 2000

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

BRENDA P. REYES Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

9.

DECISION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

The foregoing Stipulation for Settlement and Order, Case No. W-168, is hereby adopted as the Order of the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California. An effective date of August 20, 2000, has been assigned to this Decision and Order. Made this 21st day of July, 2000. Made this 21st day of July, 2000. Made this 21st day of July, 2000. Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation	3	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
adopted as the Order of the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California. An effective date of August 20, 2000, has been assigned to this Decision and Order. Made this 21st day of July, 2000. Made this 21st day of July, 2000. Made this 21st day of July, 2000. CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation	4	
California. An effective date of August 20 , 2000, has been assigned to this Decision and Order. Made this 21st day of July , 2000. Made this 21st day of July , 2000. CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation	5	
An effective date of August 20 , 2000, has been assigned to this Decision and Order. Made this 21st day of July , 2000. Made this 21st day of July , 2000. Made this 21st day of July , 2000. CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation	6	adopted as the Order of the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of
An effective date of August 20 , 2000, has been assigned to this Decision and Order. Made this 21st day of July , 2000. Made this 21st day of July , 2000. CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation	7	California.
this Decision and Order. Made this 21st day of July, 2000. Made this 21st day of July, 2000. Made this 21st day of July, 2000. CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation		An effective date of August 20 , 2000, has been assigned to
Made this 21st day of July , 2000. CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation 22 23 24 25 26		this Decision and Order.
CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		Made this 21st day of July , 2000.
CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		
CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		Migreenhorg
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Exhibit: Accusation Exhibit: Accusation 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		CHAIRPERSON,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 Exhibit: Accusation 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	13	
Exhibit: Accusation 17	14	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	15	
18 :	16	Exhibit: Accusation
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	17	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	18	
21 22 23 24 25 26	19	
22 23 24 25 26	20	
23 24 25 26	21	
24 25 26	22	
25 26	23	
25 26	24	
26		
	4 I	

EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California BRENDA P. REYES, State Bar No. 129718 Deputy Attorneys General California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102-3664 Telephone: (415) 703-5541 (Reyes) Facsimile: (415) 703-5480
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	
. 8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	SIAIR OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. W-168 Against:
12	, ,
13	CANDACE CHORJEL, Ph.D.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION 277 Trade Winds Drive) Boulder Creek, CA 95006)
14	License No. PSY 9662
15	Respondent.
16	Respondent:
17.	
18	THOMAS S. O'CONNOR, complainant herein, charges and
19	alleges as follows:
20	1. He is the Executive Officer of the Board of
21	Psychology, State of California (hereinafter referred to as the
22	"Board"), and makes these charges and allegations solely in his
23	official capacity.
24	LICENSE HISTORY
25	2. On or about August 8, 1986, respondent, Candace
20	
26	Chorjel, Ph.D. (hereinafter referred to as "respondent"), was

:

authorizing her to practice psychology in the State of California. The license is currently in good standing and is renewed to September 30, 2001. The Board has taken no prior disciplinary action against this license.

- 3. On or about September 18, 1986, David Leon Chorjel, respondent's spouse, was issued registration No. PSB 12618, authorizing him to practice as a psychological assistant under the supervision of respondent. The registration is currently valid and is renewed to January 31, 2000.
- 4. The incidents alleged herein occurred while respondent was registered as a psychologist practicing in the State of California. The incidents described herein took place while respondent was David Chorjel's supervisor, during which time she attested that she met with David Chorjel once per week for use supervision.

STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

- 5. Section 2960 of the Business and Professions Code the States, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend, revoke or impose probationary conditions on a licensee for unprofessional conduct, which is defined to include, but not be limited to, any of the following causes:
- (c) Fraudulently or neglectfully misrepresenting the type or status of license or registration actually held;
 - (j) Being grossly negligent in the practice of

^{1.} All statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

fraudulent act.

name or corporate name.

(k)

chapter or regulations duly adopted thereunder; and

10.

(n) The commission of any dishonest, corrupt or

Violating any of the provisions of this

- 6. Section 1380,6 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations provides, in pertinent part, that every licensed psychologist shall include her number in any advertising or solicitation, regardless of whether such a presentment is made under the licensee's own name, a fictitious business or group
- 7. Section 1391.6 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations provides that every supervisor of a psychological assistant shall be responsible for the limited psychological functions performed by a psychological assistant; ensuring that the extent, kind and quality of the functions performed by the assistant are consistent with his training and experience; and ensuring that the assistant complies with the provisions of the code and the Board's regulations. Further, the supervisor shall inform each client or patient in writing prior to the rendering of services by the psychological assistant that the assistant is unlicensed and is under the direction and supervision of the supervisor as an employee.
- 8. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the Department of Consumer Affairs, the

9. Section 2964.6 provides that, "[a]n administrative disciplinary decision that imposes terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee who is being placed on probation pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

- 10. From on or about August 1, 1996, through June 3, 1998, David Chorjel undertook to provide therapy to patient, J. M.²/
 David Chorjel diagnosed J. M. as suffering from 1)
 Adjustment Disorder with Mixed Anxiety and Depressed Mood (Chronic), and 2) Borderline Personality Disorder with Mixed Narcissistic, Histrionic, and Dependent Traits.
- 11. David Chorjel's records regarding J.M. reflect
 that suicidal ideation was episodic throughout the course of J.
 M.'s treatment. J. M.'s judgment and ability to make sound or
 reasoned choices was very poor. J. M. chronically felt
 suspicious of others, and she felt victimized by others and/or by
 circumstances. Most of the significant relationships in J. M.'s
 life could be characterized as hostile-dependent.
- 12. In or about September 1997, David Chorjel loaned
 J. M. \$675.00 to use as a security deposit on an apartment.

^{2.} The name of the patient will be disclosed to respondent pursuant to any request for discovery.

Respondent was aware and approved of the loan. At or about that same time, David Chorjel, with two individuals not known to J. M., visited J. M. in her new home. J. M. invited David Chorjel to her home, but she did not invite the two unknown individuals.

- 13. On June 3, 1998, shortly after J. M. had moved to a different apartment, David Chorjel agreed to visit J.M. at her new home in order to help assemble a towel rack. He arrived alone at approximately 9:00 p.m. and stayed for approximately one to one-and-a-half hours. Respondent was aware and approved of David Chorjel's visit to J.M.'s home.
- 14. While visiting J.M. at her apartment on June 3, 1998, David Chorjel made sexual contact with J.M. in that he touched, kissed and sucked J.M.'s breast.
- David Chorjel, he began greeting J.M. in the waiting room with a hug and kiss on the cheek. David Chorjel tried, unsuccessfully, on several occasions to kiss J.M. on the lips. On these occasions, J.M. would turn her head in order to avoid a kiss on the lips. Respondent was aware of David Chorjel's practice of greeting patients with a hug and a kiss.
- 16. Respondent's conduct in permitting David Chorjel to engage in inappropriate multiple role relationships with J.M., as alleged in paragraphs 12, 13, and 14, and 15 above, constitutes unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code section 2960, subdivision (j) (gross negligence); and/or a violation of section 1391.6 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, through section 2960, subdivision (k), and therefore

cause exists for discipline under section 2960.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

- 17. Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 10 through 15 of this First Amended Accusation.
- 18. At no time during David Chorjel's treatment of J. M., did respondent advise J. M. that David Chorjel was a psychological assistant under respondent's supervision.
- 19. At or about the time J. M. began therapy with David Chorjel, he gave J. M. a business card for his practice. The business card does not identify respondent as a psychologist or as the supervisor of David Chorjel, nor does the card list respondent's license number.
- 20. Respondent's conduct in failing to advise J. M. that David Chorjel was a psychological assistant under her supervision, and in failing to include her license number on her business card, as alleged in paragraphs 18 and 19 above, constitutes unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code section 2960, subdivision (c) (fraudulent or neglectful misrepresentation of license or registration actually held); and/or unprofessional conduct under section 2960, subdivision (n) (dishonest, corrupt or fraudulent act); and/or a violation of section 1380.6 and/or section 1391.6 of the California Code of Regulations, through section 2960, subdivision (k), and therefore cause exists for discipline under section 2960.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

21. Complainant realleges and incorporates by

reference paragraphs 10 through 15, and 18 and 19 of this First Amended Accusation.

- 22. Throughout the time that David Chorjel treated J. M., respondent billed J. M.'s insurance company for services provided in her name, rather than in the name of David Chorjel.
- 23. Respondent's conduct as alleged in paragraph 22 above constitutes unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code section 2960, subdivision (n) (dishonest, corrupt or fraudulent act), and therefore cause exists for discipline under section 2960.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that the Board of Psychology hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein and that following said hearing, issue an order:

- Suspending or revoking Psychology License No. PSY
 9662, heretofore issued to Candace Chorjel, Ph.D.;
- 2. Ordering respondent to pay the Board the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case; and, if respondent is placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and,
- 3. Taking such other and further action as the Board deems necessary and proper.

m DATED: October 14, 1999

THOMAS S. O'CONNOR Executive Officer Board of Psychology

Complainant